

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,069	02/25/2004	Yong-Hee Jung	2669-0134P 8947 EXAMINER	
2292	7590 08/02/2005			
	EWART KOLASCH &	HANEY, RICHALE LEE		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3765	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e		
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/785,069		JUNG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Richale L. H	aney	3765		
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on the c	over sheet with the co	rrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even immunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statuto in statutory period will apply and will opply will, by statute, cause the applications after the mailing date of this communication.	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) t	filed on <u>25 February 200</u> 4	<u>!</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition	•				
closed in accordance with the pra-	ctice under Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in t	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	triction and/or election red	quirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) accepted or b) □] objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Applicant may not request that any ob		·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	•	• • • • •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claimal All b) Some * c) None of	:		-(d) or (f).		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the prior	•		am Ata		
2. Certified copies of the priori	· ·				
 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal 	•		d in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office ac	,	• • •	d		
Gee the attached detailed Office ac	and the celling	sa copios not receive	ut.		
Au. 1					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
 2) Notice of References Cited (P10-092) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	v (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/785,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of a trademark in a claim is improper. The term "Velcro" as used in claim 1, should be replaced with equivalent descriptive language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,069

Art Unit: 3765

12-16 and 30-31).

5. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson (5,253,364) in view of Brown et al. (4,630,317) and Knepp (6,056,400). Robinson discloses a hat with a visor that pivots by the use of two couplings on each side of the said visor (Figure 1, 38) and an adjustable strap in the back having male and female parts (Column 3, lines 24-27). It can be seen that Robinson lacks the particular visor type and sweatband with attachment means as claimed by the applicant. Knepp discloses a visor made from an ultraviolet protective

material (Column 5, lines 9 –26). It is noted that the shape and use of materials for

sunglasses are commonly constructed of plastic or like material, which would indicate

the material would inherently be made from a synthetic resin. Brown et al. shows an

elasticized sweatband removably attached to a flexible crown frame (Column 3, lines

Page 3

1. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Robison in view of Brown et al. and Knepp as applied to claim 1 above. The modified device of Robinson does not specifically disclose the various means of attachments as claimed by the applicant. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the various well-known attachment means as described in claims 2-4. The variation of attachment means of the does not significantly change the structure of the apparatus and therefore the claims are rejected.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vu (5,669,071) discloses a cap with a pivotal visor made from a material that would not impede the vision of the wearer.

Yun (5,125,113) shows a cap with a pivotal visor attached to an elastic frame within the cap body.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richale L. Haney whose telephone number is 571-272-8689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on 571 -272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richale L. Haney Patent Examiner Art Unit 3765

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700